Possible methods for the determination of the $P$-parity of the $\Theta^+$-pentaquark in NN-collisions.
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We present two possibilities to determine the $P$-parity of the pentaquark $\Theta^+$, in a model independent way, via the measurement of polarization observables in $p+p \rightarrow \Theta^++\Sigma^+$, or $n+p \rightarrow \Theta^++\Lambda^0$, in the near threshold region. Besides the measurement of the spin correlation coefficient, $A_{xx} = A_{yy}$, (in collisions of transversally polarized nucleons), the coefficient $D_{xx}$ of polarization transfer from the initial proton to the final $\Sigma^+(\Lambda^0)$ hyperon is also unambiguously related to the $\Theta^+$ parity.

In 1999, N. K. Pak and M. Rekalo proposed [1] two new methods for the determination of the P-parity of the $K$-meson, through the measurement of different polarization observables in $K$-meson production in proton proton collisions near threshold, $p+p \rightarrow K^+ + Y^0 + p \ (Y^0 = \Lambda \ or \ \Sigma$-hyperon). One method is based on the measurement of the sign of the spin correlation coefficient $A_{yy}$, for collisions of transversally polarized protons. The second one is based on the measurement of the polarization transfer coefficient from the initial proton to the produced hyperon, $D_{nn}$. Both methods apply in threshold conditions, where all final particles are in S-state. Note, in this respect, that the DISTO collaboration showed the feasibility of the second method, by measuring the $D_{nn}$ coefficient at proton momentum of 3.67 GeV/c, which showed that "$D_{nn}$ is large and negative ($\approx -0.4$) over most of the kinematic region" [2]. It was mentioned in [1] that a nonzero value of $D_{nn}$, in the threshold region, can be considered as the experimental confirmation of the pseudoscalar nature of the $K^+$ meson.

It is straightforward to adapt these methods to the determination of the $P$-parity of the $\Theta^+$-hyperon, which is presently object of an intensive theoretical discussion.

The simplest reactions of $NN$-collisions, which can be considered for this aim are the following:

\begin{align*}
    p + n & \rightarrow \Lambda^0 + \Theta^+, \\
    p + p & \rightarrow \Sigma^+ + \Theta^+, \\
    p + p & \rightarrow \pi^+ + \Lambda^0 + \Theta^+,
\end{align*}

in threshold conditions (S-wave production). It is important to note that the $\Lambda^0$ or the $\Sigma^+$ hyperons, produced in these reactions, are self analyzing particles, therefore the polarization transfer method - with measurement of the polarization transfer coefficient $D_{nn}$, seems more preferable, from the experimental point of view.

The following analysis of reactions (1-3) is based on general symmetry properties of the strong interaction, such as the $P$-invariance, the conservation of the total angular momentum, the Pauli principle, for the $pp$-system, and the generalized Pauli principle for the $np$ system, which holds at the level of the isotopic invariance of the strong interaction.

These symmetry properties, being applied to S-wave production in the processes (1-3) allow to establish the spin structure of the corresponding matrix elements, for both cases of the considered $P$-parity.

We consider here, for simplicity, the case of spin $1/2 \ \Theta^+$ hyperon, but this formalism can be extended to any $\Theta^+$ spin.

Firstly, let us establish the general spin structure of double polarization observables for the processes (1-3) at threshold.

The dependence of the cross section, total or differential, on the polarizations $\vec{P}_1$ and $\vec{P}_2$ of the colliding nucleons can be written as:

$$
\sigma(\vec{P}_1, \vec{P}_2) = \sigma_0(1 + A_1 \vec{P}_1 \cdot \vec{P}_2 + A_2 \hat{k} \vec{P}_1 \cdot \hat{k} \vec{P}_2),
$$

where $\sigma_0$ is the cross section for the collision of unpolarized nucleons, $\hat{k}$ is the unit vector along the three momentum of the colliding nucleons, in the reaction CM system. The real coefficients $A_1$ and $A_2$, which are different for different reactions, depend on the parity of the $\Theta^+$ hyperon. Taking the $z$-axis along $\hat{k}$, one can find the following expression
for the spin correlation coefficients $A_{ab}$, in terms of $A_1$ and $A_2$:

$$A_{xx} = A_{yy} = A_1, \quad A_{zz} = A_1 + A_2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

The dependence of the polarization $\vec{P}_Y$ of the produced hyperon, $\Lambda$ or $\Sigma^+$, on the polarization $\vec{P}$ of the initial nucleon (beam or target) can be written as:

$$\vec{P}_Y = p_1 \vec{P} + p_2 \hat{k} \cdot \vec{P},$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where $p_{1,2}$ are real coefficients, which depend on the $\Theta^+$ parity, so that for the non-zero polarization transfer coefficients, $D_{ab}$ one can write:

$$D_{xx} = D_{yy} = p_1, \quad D_{zz} = p_1 + p_2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

Let us calculate these coefficients for the reactions (1-3), it terms of S-wave partial amplitudes, considering both values of the $\Theta^+$ parity.

$n + p \rightarrow \Lambda + \Theta^+$. This reaction has the lowest threshold, and seems very interesting for the measurement of the $D_{nn}$ coefficient, due to the large asymmetry and branching ratio of the decay $\Lambda \rightarrow p + \pi^-$ ($\alpha = 0.642 \pm 0.013$ and $Br=(63.9 \pm 0.5\%)$).  

The spin structure of the threshold matrix element depends on the discussed P-parity (assuming that the isotopic spin of $\Theta^+$ is zero):

$$M^{(-)} = f^{(-)}(\Lambda) f \bigotimes \hat{\sigma} \cdot \hat{k}, \text{ if } P(\Theta^+) = -1,$$

$$M^{(+)} = f_1^{(+)}(\Lambda) f_2^{(+)}(\Lambda) \bigotimes (\sigma_m - \hat{k}_m \sigma \cdot \hat{k}) \bigotimes \sigma_m, \text{ if } P(\Theta^+) = +1$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

where the upper index ($\pm$) for the partial amplitudes $f(\Lambda)$ corresponds to $P(\Theta^+) = \pm 1$. Henceforward we use the following abbreviation

$$A \bigotimes B = (\chi_2 \sigma_y A \chi_1)(\chi_4 \sigma_y \chi_3),$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

where $\chi_1$ and $\chi_2$ ($\chi_3$ and $\chi_4$) are the two-component spinors of the initial (final) baryons.

Using Eqs. (8) and (9) one can find the following formulas for double spin polarization observables:

$$A_{xx}^{(-)}(\Lambda) = A_{yy}^{(-)}(\Lambda) = A_{zz}^{(-)}(\Lambda) = -1, \quad D_{ab}^{(-)} = 0, \text{ if } P(\Theta^+) = -1,$$

and

$$D_{xx}^{(+)} A_{xx}^{(+)}(\Lambda) = D_{yy}^{(+)} A_{yy}^{(+)}(\Lambda) = |f_1^{(+)}|^2, \quad D_{zz}^{(+)} A_{zz}^{(+)}(\Lambda) = 2 \left( |f_1^{(+)}(\Lambda)|^2 + |f_2^{(+)}(\Lambda)|^2 \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

$$D_{xx}^{(+)} D_{xx}^{(+)}(\Lambda) = D_{yy}^{(+)} D_{yy}^{(+)}(\Lambda) = 2 Re f_1^{(+)} f_2^{(+)} \star, \quad D_{zz}^{(+)} D_{zz}^{(+)}(\Lambda) = 2 |f_2^{(+)}(\Lambda)|^2,$$

with

$$D_{xx}^{(+)} = |f_1^{(+)}(\Lambda)|^2 + 2 |f_2^{(+)}(\Lambda)|^2, \text{ if } P(\Theta^+) = +1.$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

So, comparing the two possibilities for the P-parity, one can predict, in model independent way:

$$A_{xx}^{(-)}(\Lambda) = A_{yy}^{(-)}(\Lambda) = A_{zz}^{(-)}(\Lambda) = -1, \quad D_{ab}^{(-)}(\Lambda) = 0, \text{ if } P(\Theta^+) = -1,$$

$$A_{yy}^{(+)}(\Lambda) \geq 0, \quad D_{yy}^{(+)}(\Lambda) \neq 0, \text{ if } P(\Theta^+) = +1$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

with evident sensitivity of these observables to the parity of the $\Theta^+$ hyperon.
The collisions of polarized protons in this reaction have been considered in \[4\].
applied to the $\Lambda$ and $\Sigma^0$ production in $pp$-collisions \cite{5}, and reproduces quite well the sign and the absolute value of $D_{nn}$ in $\bar{p} + p \to \bar{\Lambda} + K^+ + p$. Considering the contribution of both diagrams in Fig. 1, one can find:

$$f_1^{(-)}(\Sigma) = -f_2^{(-)}(\Sigma)$$ \hspace{1cm} (21)

This relation does not depend on many details of the reaction mechanism, such as the values of the two coupling constants, $g_{p\Theta K}$ and $g_{p\Sigma K}$, on the width of $\Theta^+$ and on the form of the phenomenological form factors, which has to be taken into account in such considerations.

The relation (21) allows to predict:

$$A_{yy}^{(-)}(\Sigma) = +1/3, \quad D_{yy}^{(-)}(\Sigma) = -2/3.$$ \hspace{1cm} (22)

Let us note that $|D_{yy}^{(-)}(\Sigma)|$ is different from zero and large. This will make easier to discriminate the value of the P-parity.

The same relation holds also for the amplitudes $f_{1,2}^{(+)}(\Lambda)$ for the process $n + p \to \Lambda + \Theta^+$ (for $K$-exchange), with corresponding predictions for polarization effects.

Note, however, that final state $\Sigma\Theta$- interaction, which is different, generally, in singlet and triplet states, can affect the relation (21). There are arguments which show that these effects can not be large \cite{4}. In any case we considered here $K$-exchange only for illustrative purposes, for a quick estimation of polarization phenomena, without any claim that this is a realistic model for these reactions \cite{6}: the main result of this paper does not depend on model considerations.

The experimental study of all three reactions (1-3) will give a non ambiguous signature of the $\Theta^+$ parity.
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